

A Benchmarking Exercise for Quality Blended Learning. CEChallenge for European Universities in the 21st Century

Comba, Valentina; Ossiannilsson, Ebba ^{LU}; Landgren, Lena ^{LU}; Blok, Rasmus; Martins Ferreira, Jose Manuel; Kjaer, Christopher and Christensen, Inger-Marie

(2010) EADTU Annual Conference 2010 Strategies and business models

Abstract

This paper shares the experiences of 5 universities involved in a benchmarking exercise on e-learning in 2009 through ESMU. A total of 9 European universities participated in the exercise with the purpose of evaluating their existing e-learning practices and policies and getting advice on which areas to improve and how.

Initially, the paper discusses the benchmarking concept and reasons why institutions should engage in benchmarking exercises. Benchmarking is viewed as a method for quality assurance and enhancement in higher education. Self-assessment is involved at the participating institutions, which leads to a high level of awareness and understanding of existing practices and policies at different levels of the organisation. Benchmarking is thus an efficient self-improvement tool.

In chapter two, the planning of the benchmarking exercise is outlined. A combination of an individual, collaborative and expert approach to benchmarking was chosen.

Chapter three deals with the creation of the benchmarking questionnaire which was a collaborative effort between all nine participating universities, ESMU and EADTU. Taking their starting point in the online E-xellence benchmarking tool developed by EADTU, participants reformulated, deleted and added benchmarks within the following six categories: Strategic management, curriculum design, course design, course delivery, staff support and student support. An effort was made to translate the E-xellence questions to the blended learning context of the participating universities.

References

Bacsish, P. (2009). The UK approaches to quality in e-learning as seen from the HE Academy/JISC benchmarking program and more recent developments including Re.Vica and The first dual-mode distance learning benchmarking club. Matic Media Ltd (ppt). I ENQA Workshop, Sigtuna 7-8 oktober, 2009. Available 091013 from www.enga.eu/files/ENQA%20PaulBacsich%20final.pdf.

Moriarty, J.P. (2008) A theory of benchmarking. Theses, Lincoln University, Department of Business Management, Law and Marketing.

Moriarty, J.P. & Smallman, C. (2009) En Route to a theory on benchmarking. Benchmarking: An International Journal 16, 484-503.

Ossiannilsson, E. (2010a) Benchmarking on e-Learning in universities: Impacts and values, European perspectives. European Journal of International Management (in press).

Ossiannilsson, E. (2010b) European experiences on the E-xcellence benchmarking initiative by EADTU (submitted).

Ossiannilsson, E. (2010c) Benchmarking on eLearning in higher education. Experiences from EADTU E-xcellence+ project and ESMU:s eLearning benchmarking exercise. In ENQA (Ed.), Quality Assurance of E-learning. Helsinki: ENQA (in press).

Ossiannilsson, E. & Landgren, L. (2010a) Benchmarking on eLearning at Lund University – experiences and critical concepts for quality assurance. Lund: Lund University (in Swedish, but with an extended summary in English).

Ossiannilsson, E. & Landgren, L. (2010b). Quality in eLearning – a conceptual framework based on experiences from three international benchmarking projects (submitted).

Ubachs, G. (Ed.). (2009) Quality assessment for E-learning a Benchmarking Approach. Heerlen: EADTU.

van Vught, F., Brandenburg, U. & Burquel, N. (Eds). (2008b) A practical guide. Benchmarking in European higher education. Brussels: ESMU.

Williams, K. & Rotheram, B. (2010) Report benchmarking exercise on eLearning. ESMU: Brussels.